UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,701	02/16/2005	Peter Emmanuel Petros	4150/005	1385	
==	7590 03/30/200 CKMAN & REISMA	EXAMINER			
270 MADISON 8TH FLOOR	AVENUE	MASHACK, MARK F			
NEW YORK, N	VY 10016-0601	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,701	PETROS, PETER EMMANUEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARK MASHACK	3773		

	MARK MASHACK	3773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidateal (with appeal fee) in compliance	f Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed vAMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
	but unique to the data of filling a built	£!!!	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	OTE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>The claim limitation "barbed configuration consideration</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	with a plurality of tapered prongs"	requires additional sea	arch and
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails	to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773			